

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/798,257	03/12/2004	Stephen McIsaac	JJ-11 384-2US	5883	
7590 05/19/2006			EXAMINER		
John Jeffrey c/o Dennison Associates			GIBSON, RANDY W		
Suite 301 133 Richmond S	Street West		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Toronto, ON M1M 2S3			2841		
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1./
	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	V-
	10/798,257		MCISAAC ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Randy W. Gi		2841	
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the c	over sheet with	the correspondence addi	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, cation. by period will apply and will expressed by statute, cause the applica	S COMMUNICA , however, may a rep expire SIX (6) MONTH ation to become ABA	ATION. lly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this com NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>30 March 2006</u> .			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is non	n-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except fo	r formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the r	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quay</i>	/le, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v		ideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are rejected.				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-17</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election req	uirement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 March 2006</u>	is/are: a)⊠ accepte	d or b)□ obje	cted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) be	held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	·			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. Note	the attached	Office Action or form PTC)-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority does			119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority do			plication No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the				tage
application from the International	•			· ·
* See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certifie	d copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)		_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-			mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-1449 or PTO-1	O/SB/08) 5		ormal Patent Application (PTO-	152)

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kira (US # 6,317,656) in view of Wojcik (US # 3,636,750) and Hyer et al (US # 4,762,252). As noted in the previous office action, Kira teaches monitoring motor current as a way of recording mass flow rate of a belt conveyor that also accounts for "no-load" and "start-up load" conditions (See column 2, lines 53-63; column 6, line 34 to col. 7, line 7; and, col. 13, line 60 to col. 16, line 11.). Kira teaches the claimed invention except for using a calibration formula for the "tare" weight of the empty scale that is derived from an average of "no-load" reading plus "up to" two standard deviations. Wojcik teach that in order to calibrate the tare weight of an empty conveyor, one needs to take an average of the readings of an entire run of the conveyor because of variations in the belt (Abs.).

Application/Control Number: 10/798,257 Page 3

Art Unit: 2841

Heyer et al points out that conveyor scales are also subject to random noise, and as is well known from statistics, 95 percent of these reading should fall withing two standard deviations of the average readings (Col. 4, line 60 to col. 6, line 5). It would have been obvious to the ordinary practioner to tare the scale of Kira by averaging the no-load readings, as taught by Wojick, to cancel out natural variations in the tare readings of the conveyor run, and to factor in any "noise" reading that lie within two standard deviations from the mean, as suggested by Hyer, to account for random noise.

Conclusion

4. Claims 1-4 are allowable over the art of record since there is no evidence that Kira keeps a continual record of all no-load and start-up load time during the recording process and totalizes these figures along with the tonnage for the recording period. Claims 6-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In addition to the reason mentioned above, the examiner also notes that while Kira teaches that temperature changes affects the weight reading of belt conveyors, but since he compensates for this using an autocalibration routine, this reference teaches away from using a separate temperature sensor to provide real-time temperature information for adjustment on the fly.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2841

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randy W. Gibson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2103. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri., 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (571) 272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,257

Art Unit: 2841

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Randy W. Gibson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2841